

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/698,729	CAMP ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth Tang	2195	

All Participants:

(1) Kenneth Tang.

(2) Brian Genco.

Status of Application: Notice of Appeal

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 October 2007

Time: 2:30pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

1-21

Claims discussed:

1-21

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the claims to further limit the "batch jobs" such that it performs a repetitive, low priority task that typically requires no external input. This Amendment was made in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)